



PROFICIENCY TEST « RAEMA »



SCHEME N° 76 (6th MARCH 2023) GENERAL REPORT

« Any reproduction of the report must be made in its entirety»
« The Cofrac logo may not be used outside this report»
« The general report is public, it is available on the Website of ASA, results and informations are anonymous, they do not contain any confidential information »

V. CARLIER⁽¹⁾, L. ALI-MANDJEE et M. CARLIER ASA – ENVA, 7 avenue du Général de Gaulle, 94704 MAISONS ALFORT CEDEX

(1) Coordinator of the proficiency test « RAEMA »

For any claim, you can use the specific form available on our Website https://association.asa-spv.fr





Table of contents

1.	GENERAL DATA	
	1-1 PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES	3
	1-2 DELIVERY TIME OF THE PARCEL	3
	1-3 INFORMATION ABOUT SAMPLE	3
	1-3-1 NATURE	
	1-3-2 SIZE	
	1-3-3 HOMOGENEITY AND STABILITY OF THE CONTAMINATION	
	1-3-4 FLORA FOR ENUMERATION / DETECTION	
	1-4 EXECUTION OF ANALYSIS	
	1-4-1 DELIVERY TIME OF SAMPLES / BEGINNING OF ANALYZES	
	1-4-2 PRESERVATION TEMPERATURE OF SAMPLE BEFORE ANALYSIS	4
2-	EXPLOITATION OF ANALYSIS REPORT	4
	2.1 SIZE OF TEST SAMPLES	4
	2-2 PREPARATION OF THE INITIAL SUSPENSION	4
	2-3 DILUENT USED FOR THE INITIAL SUSPENSION	4
	2-4 HOMOGENEIZATION TECHNIQUE	4
	2-5 RESUSCITATION'S CONDITIONS	4
	2-5-1 DURATION	4
	2-5-2 TEMPERATURE	
	2-6 MICROORGANISMS AT 30°C	
	2-7 ENTEROBACTERIACEA	
	2-8 TOTAL COLIFORMS	
	2-9 THERMOTOLERANT COLIFORMS	
	2-10 ESCHERICHIA COLI	
	2-11 ANAEROBIC SULFITE-REDUCING BACTERIA	
	2-12 CLOSTRIDIUM PERFRINGENS	
	2-13 COAGULASE POSITIVE STAPHYLOCOCCI	
	2-14 LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES – ENUMERATION	13
	2-15 SALMONELLA -DETECTION	15
	2-16 LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES –DETECTION	17
3.	ASSESSMENT OF PERFORMANCE	10
0	3-1 PERFORMANCES IN ENUMERATION	10
	3-1-1 MICROORGANISMS AT 30°C	
	3-1-2 ENTEROBACTERIACEA	
	3-1-3 TOTAL COLIFORMS	
	3-1-4 THERMOTOLERANT COLIFORMS	.22
	3-1-5 ESCHERICHIA COLI	.22
	3-1-6 ANAEROBIC SULFITE-REDUCING BACTERIA	
	3-1-7 CLOSTRIDIUM PERFRINGENS	
	3-1-8 COAGULASE POSITIVE STAPHYLOCOCCI	
	3-1-9 LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES – ENUMERATION	
	3-2 PERFORMANCES IN DETECTION	
	3-2-1 DETECTION – SALMONELLA	
	3-2-2 DETECTION – LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES	
	3-3 EVULUTION OF PERFORMANCE	/4





1-GENERAL DATA

1.1. PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

336 laboratories participated to the 76th scheme. The sending was made on Monday 6th March 2023. We received **332** answers (98.8%).

1.2. DELIVERY TIME OF THE PARCEL

Reception	J0	J0+1	J0+2	J0+3	J0+4	J0+7	J0+8	J0+9	J0+11	J0+13	J0+15	J0+16
Nb of laboratories	3	222	60	21	8	3	6	3	2	1	2	1

1.3. INFORMATIONS ABOUT SAMPLE

1.3.1. NATURE

The sample included:

- one strain of *Enterococcus sp.* At a concentration level of 1,5.10⁵ cfu/g in 5 units :
- one strain of *Citrobacter sp.* At a concentration level of 6.10² cfu/g in 5 units;
- one strain of Serratia liquefaciens at a concentration level of 5.10² cfu/g in 5 units;
- one strain of Escherichia coli at a concentration level of 3.10² cfu/g in 5 units;
- one strain of Clostridium perfringens at a concentration level of 4.10² cfu/g in 3 units;
- one strain of Staphylococcus aureus at a concentration level of 4,5.103 cfu/g in 5 units;
- one strain of Salmonella Anatum at a concentration level of 50 cfu/g in 2 units;
- one strain of *Listeria monocytogenes* at a concentration level of 8.10² cfu/g in 2 units.

Samples have been prepared between January and March 2023. The maintenance of bacterial strains, check of their contamination and check of the purity are entrusted to a subcontractor.

1.3.2. SIZE

180 kilogrammes of powder were produced and distributed after contamination in bottles containing 75 grammes at least. Bottles were covered by a label with a 6 digit identification number.

1.3.3. HOMOGENEITY AND STABILITY TEST OF THE CONTAMINATION

Homogeneity and stability of samples are checked during the statistical analysis of participants results. A supplementary check of the contamination's homogeneity was realized on 10 samples for each unit by a double enumeration of aerobic microorganisms at 30°C.

The contamination's stability was also checked by enumeration / detection of all flora on 13, 20 and 27 March 2023. These checks were realized by a subcontractor accreditated by Cofrac.

Homogeneity of samples has been validated. Stability of samples has been validated, except for "coagulase positive staphylococci" in the 3rd week of analysis. Only laboratories having analyzed this parameter from J14 are concerned and informed.

1.3.4. FLORA FOR ENUMERATION / DETECTION

Enumeration of the following flora was proposed: microorganisms at 30°C, Enterobacteriaceae, total and thermotolerant coliforms, beta-glucuronidase positive *Escherichia coli*, anaerobic sulfite-reducing bacteria, *Clostridium perfringens*, coagulase positive staphylococci, *Listeria monocytogenes*, as well as detection of *Salmonella* and *Listeria monocytogenes*.





1.4. EXECUTION OF ANALYZES

1.4.1. DELIVERY TIME OF SAMPLES / BEGINNING OF ANALYZES

332 laboratories (100%) specified it.

Analysis time	J0+1	J0+2	J0+3	J0+4	J0+5	J0+7	J0+8	J0+9	J0+10	J0+12	J0+14	J0+15	J0+16	J0+17
Nb of laboratories	27	59	27	9	1	130	45	14	5	1	8	3	2	1

1.4.2. PRESERVATION TEMPERATURE OF SAMPLES BEFORE ANALYSIS

332 laboratories (100%) specified it. The average temperature is **3.8°C** with a standard deviation of 0.9°C. The given data 15, 19, 20, 22 and 25°C given by 5 laboratories were not taken into account for this calculation.

2. EXPLOITATION OF ANALYSIS REPORT

2.1. SIZE OF THE SAMPLES

326 laboratories specified it (98.2%).

The average size is 17.7 g with a standard deviation of 7.9 g. The minimum size indicated is 1 g and the maximum one is 50 g. The given data 0.1 and 340g given by 2 laboratories were not taken into account for this calculation.

2.2. PREPARATION OF THE INITIAL SUSPENSION

For **332** answers (100%):

196 laboratories (59.0%) prepare the initial suspension with adding diluent to powder.

133 laboratories (40.1%) prepare the initial suspension with adding powder to diluent.

3 laboratories (0.9%) used another technique to prepare the initial suspension.

2.3. DILUENT USED FOR THE INITIAL SUSPENSION

For **330** answers (99.4%):

290 laboratories (87.4%) use Buffered Peptone Water (or equivalent) for the initial suspension.

37 laboratories (11.1%) use Peptone salt for the initial suspension.

3 laboratories (0.9%) used another diluent for the initial suspension.

2.4. HOMOGENEIZATION TECHNIQUE

For **327** answers (98.5%):

298 laboratories (89.8%) homogeneize their sampling with a StomacherND.

23 laboratories (6.9%) used a manual homogenization.

2 laboratories (0.6%) used a Vortex mixer.

4 laboratories (1.2%) used another technique.

2.5. RESUSCITATION'S CONDITIONS

2.5.1. DURATION

316 laboratories (95.2%) specified it.

The average duration is **27.3 min** with a standard deviation of 16.0 min. The data 120 and 1440 min given by 4 laboratories were not taken into account for this calculation.

2.5.2. TEMPERATURE

314 laboratories (94.6%) specified it.

The average temperature is **21.3°C** with a standard deviation of 3.3°C.





2.6. MICROORGANISM AT 30°C

Parameters	Mode	Nb laboratories
Method	ISO/NF EN ISO 4833-1 AFNOR 3M-01/1-09/89 NM ISO 4833-1 ISO/NF EN ISO 4833-2 AFNOR BIO-12/35-05/13 Internal method XP V08-034 Other + V08-100 (spiral)	206 45 27 12 11 8 6 8
Culture medium	Plate Count Agar Petrifilms Plate Count Agar + Milk Tempo AC Other	240 46 25 11 1
Preparation	Home made Ready to use not pre-poured Ready to use, plate, film, card	111 145 67
Plating method	Surface Pour Culture medium for card	61 244 11
1 st dilution retained	- 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 1/400	7 13 265 28 9
Incubation temperature	30°C 32-35°C 37°C 47°C	315 4 2 1
Incubation duration	64-76 h 42-48 h 24-26 h 144 h Other	265 53 3 1 1





2.7. ENTEROBACTERIACEA

Parameters	Mode	Nb laboratories
Method	NF V08-054 → NM 08.0.109 ⁽¹⁾ ISO/NF EN ISO 21528-2 AFNOR 3M-01/6-09/97 NM ISO 21528-2 AFNOR BIO-12/21-12/06 AFNOR AES-10/07-01/08 AFNOR BRD-07/24-11/13 Internal method Other	106 14 73 44 17 10 8 7 2
Culture medium	VRBG Petrifilms Tempo EB Rebecca Rapid'Enterobacteriaceae	208 47 10 9 8
Preparation	Home made Ready to use not pre-poured Ready to use, plate, film, card	88 134 59
1 st dilution retained	- 1 - 2 1/40 1/400	226 49 2 6
Incubation temperature	37±1°C 30°C 35°C	180 92 10
Incubation duration	20-25 h 44-48 h Other	275 6 1
Confirmatory test	Yes No	77 202

⁽¹⁾ Similar method to NF V08-054 according to ONSSA (Office National de Sécurité Sanitaire des produits Alimentaires).





2.8. TOTAL COLIFORMS

231 laboratories performed the enumeration.

Parameters	Mode	Nb laboratories
Method	NF V08-050 → NM 08.0.142 ⁽²⁾ ISO/NF ISO 4832 NM ISO 4832 AFNOR 3M AFNOR BRD-07/08-12/04 AFNOR BIO-12/17-12/05 Internal method Other	109 11 59 23 14 5 4 2
Culture medium	VRBL Petrifilms Rapid Ecoli Tempo TC Other	202 16 6 4 3
Preparation	Home made Ready to use not pre-poured Ready to use, plate, film, card	88 124 19
1 st dilution retained	-1 -2 1/400	211 17 3
Incubation temperature	30-32°C 37°C 44°C	213 16 1
Incubation duration	20-26 h 48 h Other	225 4 1

AFNOR 3M method including:

¹ laboratory specified utilization of AFNOR 3M-01/02-09/89 A method.

¹ laboratory specified utilization of AFNOR 3M-Petrifilm CC method.

⁽²⁾ Similar method to NF V 08-050 according to ONSSA.





2.9. THERMOTOLERANT COLIFORMS

207 laboratories performed the enumeration.

Parameters	Mode	Nb laboratories
Method	NF V08-060 → NM 08.0.124 ⁽³⁾ AFNOR 3M ISO/NF ISO 4832 Internal method Other	139 31 21 11 2 3
Culture medium	VRBL Petrifilms Other	182 22 3
Preparation	Home made Ready to use not pre-poured Ready to use, plate, film, card	83 104 20
1 st dilution retained	-1 -2	191 16
Incubation temperature	42-45°C 37°C	205 2
Incubation duration	22-25 h 48 h 27-30 h Other	200 4 2 1

AFNOR 3M method including:

³ laboratories specified utilization of AFNOR 3M-01/02-09/89 C method.

¹ laboratory specified utilization of AFNOR 3M-01/05-03/97 B method.

⁽³⁾ Similar method to NF V08-060 according to ONSSA.





2.10. ESCHERICHIA COLI

305 laboratories performed the enumeration.

Parameters	Mode	Nb laboratories
Method	ISO/NF ISO 16649-2 AFNOR 3M NM ISO 16649-2 AFNOR BRD-07/01-07/93 AFNOR BIO-12/13-02/05 AFNOR AES-10/06-01/08 NM 08.0.108 AFNOR BIO-12/05-01/99 ISO/NF EN ISO 16649-3 Internal method Other	178 43 27 19 9 8 5 4 4 2 6
Culture medium	TBX Petrifilms Rapid E. coli Rebecca Tempo EC Coli ID Glutamate + TBX	214 44 21 10 9 6
Preparation	Home made Ready to use not pre-poured Ready to use, plate, film, card	94 158 51
Plating method	Surface Pour Culture medium for card	45 246 9
1 st dilution retained	-1 -2 1/40 1/400	282 15 1 6
Incubation temperature	41-46°C 37±1°C 30°C	271 31 2
Incubation duration	18-27 h 48 h Other	298 5 1

AFNOR 3M method including:

15 laboratories specified utilization of AFNOR 3M-01/08-06/01 (SELECT'E. COLI) method.





2.11. ANAEROBIC SULFITE-REDUCING BACTERIA

Parameters	Mode	Nb laboratories
Method	NF V08-061	156
	$\rightarrow NM \ 08.0.125^{(4)}$	19
	ISO/NF ISO 15213	40
	NM ISO 15213	14
	Internal method Other	7 7
	Other	1
Culture medium	TSC	230
	TSN	7
	Iron Sulfite agar	5
	Other	1
Preparation	Home made	87
	Ready to use not pre-poured	129
	Ready to use, plate, film, card	27
Seeding way	Plates	162
coouning may	Tubes	80
1 st dilution retained	-1	181
	-2	61
Incubation temperature	44-47°C	178
porasare	37°C	64
		-
Incubation duration	16-25 h	202
	44-48 h	33
	72 h	5
	9 h	1
	Other	1

⁽⁴⁾ Similar method to NF V08-061 according to ONSSA.





2.12. CLOSTRIDIUM PERFRINGENS

Parameters	Mode	Nb laboratories
Method	ISO/NF EN ISO 7937 NM ISO 7937 NM 08.0.111 Internal method Other	151 32 2 1 6
Culture medium	TSC Other	190 2
Preparation	Home made Ready to use not pre-poured Ready to use, plate, film, card	58 127 6
1 st dilution retained	-1 -2	168 23
Incubation temperature	37°C 44-46°C 32°C	182 9 1
Incubation duration	18-25 h 44-48 h 72 h	184 7 1
Confirmation test	None Lactose-sulfite Strip MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry	31 141 8 5





2.13. COAGULASE POSITIVE STAPHYLOCOCCI

Parameters	Mode	Nb laboratories
Method	ISO/NF EN ISO 6888-2 ISO/NF EN ISO 6888-1 NM ISO 6888-1 AFNOR BKR-23/10-12/15 AFNOR 3M-01/9-04/03 AFNOR BIO-12/28-04/10 NM ISO 6888-2 Internal method NM 08.0.112 NordVal No :049 ISO/NF EN ISO 6888-3 Other	136 71 27 23 12 10 7 4 2 2
Culture medium	RPF BP+egg yolk tellurite Easy Staph BP+egg yolk tellurite+ sulfamethazine Petrifilm Tempo STA Rapid Staph Other	129 96 30 17 13 10 2
Preparation	Home made Ready to use not pre-poured Ready to use, plate, film, cards	77 129 93
Plating method	Surface Pour Culture medium for card	150 139 10
1 st dilution retained	-1 -2 -3 1/40 1/400	123 169 1 5 3
Incubation temperature	37±1°C 30-32°C	299 2
Incubation duration	42-49 h 21-25 h 72 h 34 h 52 h Other	208 89 1 1 1
Confirmation test	None Staphylo-coagulase Clumping factor DNase MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry Other	188 87 11 7 3 3





2.14. LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES - ENUMERATION

237 laboratories performed the enumeration.

RESUSCITATION

76 laboratories announce the realization of a resuscitation step.

Details concerning temperature and average duration of this step are not required anymore in the input form.

Parameters	Mode	Nb laboratories
Method	ISO/NF EN ISO 11290-2 AFNOR AES-10/05-09/06 AFNOR BKR-23/05-12/07 NM ISO 11290-2 AFNOR BRD-07/05-09/01 AFNOR BRD-07/17-01/09 Internal method Other	69 55 52 25 21 12 1 2
Resuscitation medium	Buffered Peptone Water or equivalent Half-fraser Fraser base Other	189 45 1 2
Enumeration medium	ALOA Count Compass Listeria Rapid Lmono AL Agar OCLA Palcam Other	110 81 22 18 2 2
Preparation	Home made Ready to use not pre-poured Ready to use, plate, film, card	42 46 148
Plating method	Surface Pour Culture medium for card	196 40 1





Parameters	Mode	Nb laboratories
1 st dilution retained	-1 -2	223 13
Incubation temperature	37±1°C 30-32°C	233 4
Incubation duration	44-48.5 h 24-25 h 4 h	200 36 1
Confirmation test	None Biochemical Biochemical + CAMP MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry Other	41 145 35 6 3
Nb of colonies tested per plate	1 2-4 5 6 10 48 150	62 14 106 2 1 1





2.15. SALMONELLA - DETECTION

301 laboratories performed the detection.

Methods used by laboratories are clarified in the following table :

Parameter	Mode	Nb laboratories
Method	AFNOR BKR 23/07-10/11 (IRIS Salmonella)	76
	ISO/NF EN ISO 6579-1	74
	NM ISO 6579-1	37
	AFNOR BRD 07/11-12/05 (Rapid Salmonella)	29
	AFNOR BIO 12/32-10/11 (VIDAS SPT)	23
	AFNOR BIO 12/41-03/17 (SALMA One day)	19
	AFNOR BIO 12/16-09/05 (VIDAS Easy Salmonella)	13
	AFNOR BIO 12/01-04/94 (VIDAS SLM)	9
	AFNOR UNI 03/07-11/13 (PCR)	4
	AFNOR UNI 03/06-12/07 (Salmonella precis)	4
	AFNOR BIO 12/38-06/16 (GENE UP Salmonella)	3
	AFNOR BRD 07/06-07/04 (PCR)	2
	AFNOR TRA 02/08-03/01 (TRANSIA PLATE Salmonella GOLD)	1
	Internal method	1
	Other	6

No detail of methodology was asked to laboratories using other methods than ISO/NF EN ISO 6579-1 and NM ISO 6579-1 methods and proposed in the questionnaire.

You will find below a short description of these methods :

Method	Pre-enrichment	Enrichment	Isolation
AFNOR BKR 23/07-10/11 IRIS Salmonella		IRIS Salmonella Enrichment / 41,5°C - 18±2h	IRIS / 37°C - 24±3h
AFNOR BRD 07/11-12/05 Rapid Salmonella		BPW + Salmonella capsule / 41,5°C - 18±2h	Rapid Salmonella / 37°C - 24±2h
AFNOR BIO 12/32-10/11 VIDAS SPT		BPW + Salmonella supplement / 41,5°C - 18/24h	Chrom ID / 37°C - 24h
AFNOR BIO 12/41-03/17 SALMA One day		BPW + Salmonella supplement / 41.5°C – 16/24h	SALMA / 37°C - 24±3h
AFNOR BIO 12/16-09/05 VIDAS Easy Salmonella	BPW / 37°C - 16/20h	SX2 / 41,5°C - 22/26h	Chrom ID / 37°C - 24h
AFNOR BIO 12/01-04/94 VIDAS SLM	BPW / 35°C – 24±2h	Tetrathionate (42°C - 6/8h) – Selenite cystine (35- 37°C – 6/8h) + M-Broth (42°C – 18h)	Vidas Heat & Go
AFNOR UNI 03/07-11/13 PCR		BPW + supplement / 34-38°C – 20/24h	Lysis + PCR
AFNOR UNI 03/06-12/07 Salmonella precis		One broth-Salmonella / 42°C – 16/24h	Brilliance Salmonella / 37°C – 24±2h
AFNOR BIO 12/38-06/16 GENE UP Salmonella		BPW / 42°C – 18/24h	Lysis + PCR
AFNOR BRD 07/06-07/04 PCR		BPW / 37°C – 18/21h	Lysis + PCR
AFNOR TRA 02/08-03/01 TRANSIA PLATE Salmonella GOLD	BPW / 37°C – 16/20h	RVS / 41.5°C – 18/24h	ELISA test
AFNOR QUA 18/03-11/02 BAX SYSTEM PCR		BPW / 37°C – 16/20h	Lysis + PCR





The detail of the methodology followed by 111 laboratories using ISO/NF EN ISO 6579-1 and NM ISO 6579-1 method, and the 7 laboratories using an internal or another method, is clarified in the following table :

Parameter	Mode	Nb laboratories
Method	ISO/NF EN ISO 6579-1 NM ISO 6579-1 Internal method	74 37 1
	Other	6
Pre-enrichment medium	Buffered Peptone Water Other	117 1
Pre-enrichment temperature	37±1°C 41.5-42.5°C	114
	41.5-42.5 C 22°C	2
	32°C	1
Pre-enrichment duration	16-21 h	83
	22-24 h	35
Enrichment medium	None enrichment	3
	RVS	110
	MKTTn Selenite-cystine broth	106 29
	Other	1
Isolation medium	XLD	106
	Hektoen	31
	Bismuth Sulfate	26
	GVB ASAP	17 14
	SS	11
	IRIS Salmonella agar	10
	Rapid Salmonella	8
	Compass Salmonella	5
	Rambach Brilliance Salmonella	3 2
	Other	5
Confirmation test	Biochemical	41
	Biochemical + serological agglutination	68
	MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry Other	4
	Otilei	1





2.16. LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES - DETECTION

275 laboratories performed the detection.

_		
Parameter	Mode	Nb laboratories
Method	ISO/NF EN ISO 11290-1	56
	AFNOR BKR 23/02-11/02 (Compass L. mono)	54
	AFNOR AES 10/03-09/00 (ALOA one day)	52
	NM ISO 11290-1	33
	AFNOR BRD 07/04-09/98 (Rapid' L. mono)	20
	AFNOR BRD 07/16-01/09 (Agar Listeria)	14
	AFNOR BIO 12/11-03/04 (VIDAS LMO2-37°C)	11
	AFNOR BIO 12/27-02/10 (VIDAS LMX)	6
	AFNOR BIO 12/40-11/16 (GENE UP LMO)	5
	AFNOR BIO 12/02-06/94 (VIDAS Listeria)	4
	AFNOR UNI 03/08-11/13 (PCR)	4
	AFNOR UNI 03/04-04/05 (Listeria Precis)	3
	AFNOR BIO 12/18-03/06 (VIDAS LDUO)	3
	AFNOR BRD 07/10-04/05 (IQ Check Listeria)	3
	Internal method	2
	Other	5

No detail of methodology was asked to laboratories using other method than ISO/NF EN ISO 11290-1 and NM ISO 11290-1 methods and proposed in the questionnaire.

You will find below a short description of these methods :

Méthod	Primary enrichment Secondary enrichment		la alatia n		
Method	Medium	Incubation	Medium	Incubation	- Isolation
AFNOR BKR 23/02-11/02 Compass L. mono	Half-Fraser	30°C - 24±2h			Compass Listeria Agar 37°C – 24h
AFNOR AES 10/03-09/00 ALOA one day	Half-Fraser	30°C - 24±2h			ALOA One Day 37°C – 24/48h
AFNOR BRD 07/04-09/98 Rapid' L. mono	Half-Fraser	30°C - 24±2h			Rapid L'mono 37°C – 24h
AFNOR BRD 07/16-01/09 Agar Listeria	Half-Fraser	30°C - 24±2h			Agar Listeria 37°C – 24h
AFNOR BIO 12/11-03/04 VIDAS LMO2 (37°C)	Half-Fraser	30°C - 24/26h	Fraser	37°C - 24/26h	Chromogenic medium / Palcam / Oxford
AFNOR BIO 12/27-02/10 VIDAS LMX	LMX	37°C - 26/30h			ChromID 37°C – 24h
AFNOR BIO 12/40-11/16 GENE UP LMO	LPT	35-37°C - 24±2h			ALOA 35-37°C – 24/48h
AFNOR BIO 12/02-06/94 VIDAS Listeria	Half-Fraser	37°C - 26/30h	Fraser	30°C - 24/26h	Palcam et Oxford 37°C – 24h
AFNOR UNI 03/08-11/13 PCR	LEB	37°C - 24/28h			Lysis + PCR
AFNOR UNI 03/04-04/05 Listeria Precis	One Broth Listeria	30°C - 24±2h			Brilliance Listeria 37°C – 24h
AFNOR BIO 12/18-03/06 VIDAS LDUO	LX	30°C - 24±2h	LX	30°C - 24/26h	Chromogenic medium / Palcam / Oxford
AFNOR BRD 07/10-04/05 IQ Check Listeria	Half-Fraser / LSB	30°C – 23/25h			Lysis + PCR





The detail of the methodology followed by 89 laboratories using NF ISO/EN ISO 11290-1 and NM ISO 11290-1 methods, and the 7 laboratories using an internal or another method, is clarified in the following table :

Parameter	Mode	Nb laboratories
Method	ISO/NF EN ISO 11290-1 NM ISO 11290-1 Internal method Other	56 33 2 5
Primary enrichment medium	None primary enrichment Half-Fraser One broth Listeria Other	1 87 2 6
Primary enrichment temperature	30°C 37°C 32°C	88 7 1
Primary enrichment duration	22-28 h 48 h	95 1
Secondary enrichment medium	None secondary enrichment Fraser Other	8 86 1
Secondary enrichment temperature	37±1°C 30°C 32°C	85 2 1
Secondary enrichment duration	22-24 h 48 h	73 15
Isolation medium	Palcam Ottaviani et Agosti Compass Listeria Oxford Rapid L'mono Brilliance Listeria	66 50 35 16 7 2
Isolation temperature	37±1°C 30-32°C	92 4
Isolation duration	44-48.5 h 24 h	57 39
Confirmation test	None Biochemical Biochemical + CAMP MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry	6 59 27 3
Nb of colonies per plate	1 2-4 5 10 25	27 8 41 1 1





3. ASSESSMENT OF PERFORMANCE (INDIVIDUEL REPORTS)

3.1. PERFORMANCES IN ENUMERATION

Performance is assessed on two criteria: precision and trueness.

The assigned value of the contamination used to assess the trueness and the reference standard deviation for the assessment of the precision are consensual values obtained with the results of all the participants. These values are obtained by robust estimation methods in order to eliminate influence of aberrant results. However some results are excluded of the statistical analysis. That is the case when laboratories do not give results for all contaminated units, when results are "less than CFU/g", when samples are analyzed after the deadline (time of receipt > 4 days after sending or time of analysis >15 days after sending) or when this information is not specified.

A statistical analysis has also be done to highlight potential relations between techniques used (delay of analysis, preservation temperature, preparation of the initial suspension, homogenization technique, resuscitation conditions, method used, media used, manufacturers of media, preparation mode, plating method, incubation conditions) and results obtained. We need to clarify that this statistical link is not involved in a cause - effect relationship. Indeed, this link may be due to a not documented factor.

When a significant statistical link is identified between use of a technique and the obtained results, the assessment of performance is done considering the influence of one or several factors involved if their effect translates into a contamination's difference higher than 0.15 log CFU/g for non-selective media or higher than 0.30 log CFU/g for selective media (these limits match with productivity limits of culture media usually recommended in the standard NF EN ISO 11133).

PRECISION

The precision reflects the repeatability (or reproducibility intra-laboratory) of your work.

The standard deviation of your results, s, is compared to the robust estimation of the standard deviation (reference standard deviation of precision), s^* , obtained with algorithm S from the standard ISO 13528 applied to all standard deviations obtained by laboratories included in the statistical analysis.

An index score is then calculated using the following formula: $i = (k-1) \cdot \frac{s^2}{s^{*2}}$ (with k, number of contaminated units and retained in the statistical analysis, usually 5).

The standard ISO 13528 do not provide warning and action limits for this score, so its interpretation is left to your discretion.

As an indicator, we suggest following values by analogy with those indicated for the evaluation of trueness.

For k=5, a score lower than 0.1 or higher than 18 may be considered as an action signal and a score lower than 0.45 or higher than 11.5 may be considered as a warning signal.

For k=4, a score lower than 0.03 or higher than 15.5 may be considered as an action signal and a score lower than 0.2 or higher than 9.5 may be considered as a warning signal.

For k=3, a score lower than 0.003 or higher than 13.2 may be considered as an action signal and a score lower than 0.05 or higher than 7.5 may be considered as a warning signal.

For k=2, a score lower than 0.000002 or higher than 10.3 may be considered as an action signal and a score lower than 0.0008 or higher than 5.2 may be considered as a warning signal.





TRUENESS

The trueness reflects the closeness of the mean of your results to the contamination's assigned value of samples. It has been evaluated for all enumerated flora.

The mean of your results in log CFU/g, m (on contaminated units and included in the statistical analysis), is compared to the contamination's assigned value, $m_{\rm pt}$, obtained with algorithm A from the standard ISO 13528 applied to all laboratories mean included in the statistical analysis. When groups are formed, each one is characterize by its own assigned value.

A z score is then calculated with the following formula : $z = \frac{m - m_{pt}}{\sigma_{pt}}$, where σ_{pt} is the standard deviation

for proficiency assessment (robust estimation of the standard deviation obtained by participants).

The standard ISO 13528 specifies that z score lower than -3 or higher than +3 must be considered as an action signal and that a z score lower than -2 or higher than +2 must be considered as a warning signal.

In this report, we specify, estimations of interlaboratories standard deviation for enumerations proposed as well as reproducibility standard deviation or global standard deviation for the test (parameters including interlaboratories variability and the variability of the precision).

INDIVIDUAL REPORTS - FOR EACH CRITERIA YOU FIND THE FOLLOWING INFORMATIONS

- your results in logarithm base 10 (-1 when the answer is < limit and NaN when there is no answer). Comment: the presentation order of your results does not necessarily correspond to the order you sent them, this order is the same for all the flora.
- histogram for the studied parameter (laboratories standard deviations for the precision and laboratorie's means for the trueness) with an asterisk indicating the location of your result,
- standard deviation (precision) or mean (trueness) of your results (on contaminated units and retained in the statistical analysis),
- the method declared in your results input,
- when necessary, your group in relation to the technique used,
- precision score or z score,
- number of laboratories which made analysis (and belonging to your group),
- number of laboratories included in the statistical analysis.
- reference standard deviation for the precision or assigned value of the contamination and standard deviation aptitude assessment (trueness),
- number of laboratories with a satisfactory signal,
- number of laboratories with a warning signal,
- number of laboratories with an action signal.





3.1.1. MICROORGANISMS AT 30°C

None significant effect of the analysis technique has been highlighted.

Microorganisms at 30°C		
Assigned value of the contamination (log cfu/g)	5.242	
Assigned value uncertainty (log cfu/g)	0.0061	
Standard deviation for proficiency assessment (log cfu/g)	0.0847	
Standard deviation for precision (log cfu/g)	0.0476	
Interlaboratory's standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.0820	
Reproducibility standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.0948	

3.1.2. ENTEROBACTERIACEAE

A significant "effect" of the preparation mode of the culture medium and the retained dilution has been highlighted. This effect results in a contamination's difference higher than 0.3 log cfu/g, then results have been gathered in two groups :

Enterobacteriaceae	Group 1	Group 2
Assigned value of the contamination (log cfu/g)	2.729	3.067
Assigned value uncertainty (log cfu/g)	0.0190	0.0259
Standard deviation for proficiency assessment (log cfu/g)	0.2140	0.1735
Standard deviation for precision (log cfu/g)	0.1	050
Interlaboratory's standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.2088	0.1671
Reproducibility standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.2337	0.1973

3.1.3. TOTAL COLIFORMS

A significant "effect" of the culture medium, manufacturer, and the retained dilution has been highlighted. This effect results in a contamination's difference lower than 0.3 log cfu/g, then results have been gathered in one group :

Total coliforms		
Assigned value of the contamination (log cfu/g)	2.696	
Assigned value uncertainty (log cfu/g)	0.0201	
Standard deviation for proficiency assessment (log cfu/g)	0.2362	
Standard deviation for precision (log cfu/g)	0.0970	
Interlaboratory's standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.2322	
Reproducibility standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.2548	





3.1.4. THERMOTOLERANT COLIFORMS

None significant effect of the analysis technique has been highlighted.

Thermotolerant coliforms	
Assigned value of the contamination (log cfu/g)	2.689
Assigned value uncertainty (log cfu/g)	0.0195
Standard deviation for proficiency assessment (log cfu/g)	0.2176
Standard deviation for precision (log cfu/g)	0.0987
Interlaboratory's standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.2130
Reproducibility standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.2375

3.1.5. ESCHERICHIA COLI

A significant "effect" of the preparation mode of the culture medium has been highlighted. This effect results in a contamination's difference lower than 0.3 log cfu/g, then results have been gathered in one group:

Escherichia coli	
Assigned value of the contamination (log cfu/g)	2.603
Assigned value uncertainty (log cfu/g)	0.0127
Standard deviation for proficiency assessment (log cfu/g)	0.1724
Standard deviation for precision (log cfu/g)	0.1085
Interlaboratory's standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.1654
Reproducibility standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.1978

3.1.6. ANAEROBIC SULFITE-REDUCING BACTERIA

Only units n°2, 3 and 5 were artificially contaminated.

A significant "effect" of the homogeneization technique, the preparation mode of the culture medium and the retained dilution has been highlighted. This effect results in a contamination's difference lower than 0.3 log cfu/g, then results have been gathered in one group:

Anaerobic sulfite-reducing bacteria		
Assigned value of the contamination (log cfu/g)	2.622	
Assigned value uncertainty (log cfu/g)	0.0154	
Standard deviation for proficiency assessment (log cfu/g)	0.1854	
Standard deviation for precision (log cfu/g)	0.0949	
Interlaboratory's standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.1772	
Reproducibility standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.2010	

Comment:

- 4 laboratories detected ASR in unit n°1 non-artificially contaminated by *C. perfringens* with a contamination level from 1 to 380 cfu/g.
- 4 laboratories detected ASR in unit n^4 non-artificially contaminated by C. perfringens with a contamination level from 1 to 800 cfu/g.





3.1.7. CLOSTRIDIUM PERFRINGENS

Only units n°2, 3 and 5 were artificially contaminated.

A significant "effect" of the preparation mode of the culture medium, the confirmation test and the retained dilution has been highlighted. This effect results in a contamination's difference lower than 0.3 log cfu/g, then results have been gathered in one group:

Clostridium perfringens		
Assigned value of the contamination (log cfu/g)	2.625	
Assigned value uncertainty (log cfu/g)	0.0161	
Standard deviation for proficiency assessment (log cfu/g)	0.1745	
Standard deviation for precision (log cfu/g)	0.0833	
Interlaboratory's standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.1678	
Reproducibility standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.1873	

Comment:

- 2 laboratories detected *C. perfringens* in unit n°1 non-artificially contaminated by *C. perfringens* with a contamination level from 1 to 380 cfu/g.
- 1 laboratory detected *C. perfringens* in unit n°4 non-artificially contaminated by *C. perfringens* with a contamination level of 1 cfu/g.

3.1.8. COAGULASE POSITIVE STAPHYLOCOCCI

A significant "effect" of the resuscitation's duration has been highlighted. This effect results in a contamination's difference lower than 0.3 log cfu/g, then results have been gathered in one group:

Coagulase positive Staphylococci	
Assigned value of the contamination (log cfu/g)	3.696
Assigned value uncertainty (log cfu/g)	0.0123
Standard deviation for proficiency assessment (log cfu/g)	0.1650
Standard deviation for precision (log cfu/g)	0.0732
Interlaboratory's standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.1618
Reproducibility standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.1776

3.1.9. LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES

Only units n°2 and 3 were artificially contaminated.

None significant effect of the analysis technique has been highlighted.

Listeria monocytogenes	
Assigned value of the contamination (log cfu/g)	2.923
Assigned value uncertainty (log cfu/g)	0.0097
Standard deviation for proficiency assessment (log cfu/g)	0.1160
Standard deviation for precision (log cfu/g)	0.0642
Interlaboratory's standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.1060
Reproducibility standard deviation (log cfu/g)	0.1246





3.2. PERFORMANCES IN DETECTION

The performance is assessed by the capacity to detect only samples contaminated by *Salmonella* and *Listeria monocytogenes* (no false positive or false negative results).

3.2.1. DETECTION - SALMONELLA

Only units n°2 and 3 were artificially contaminated.

294 laboratories obtained correct results.

1 laboratory obtained false positive results (respectively 1 false-positive for units n° 1).

6 laboratories obtained false negative results (respectively 3 and 4 false-negative for units n° 2 and 3).

3.2.2. DETECTION – LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES

Only units n°2 and 3 were artificially contaminated.

274 laboratories obtained correct results.

No laboratory obtained false positive results.

1 laboratory obtained false negative results (respectively 1 and 1 false-negative for units n°2 and 3).

3.3. EVOLUTION OF PERFORMANCE

You will find, on each page of your performance's assessment, a graph representing evolution of it on different tests since the 56th scheme.

In order to interpret your control card with z scores, you can refer to the standard ISO 13528 $\S10.8.2.2$, explaining the 3 « out of control » situations:

- Just one overtaking of the action limit (z<-3 or z>3),
- 2 consecutives z scores out of 3 overtaking of the warning limit (2<z<3 or -3<z<-2),
- 6 consecutives z scores regularly increasing or decreasing.